As climate change fuels fears about nuclear waste, Maine looks to Trump for solution
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WISCASSET, Maine — Atomic power has not been generated in Maine since 1996, but an end to the public health risk posed by nuclear waste stored here remains at least a decade away. And the impacts of climate change add a new sense of urgency to the hunt for a solution.

The nuclear waste — 60 concrete-encased, steel canisters holding 1,400 spent nuclear fuel rods and four containers...
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[Maine Yankee fallout: A town’s turmoil, 20 years in the making]

But 35 years after a law required the federal government to remove 540 metric tons of spent nuclear fuel from a Wiscasset storage site, the chairman of a local advisory panel said Wednesday the fuel likely will remain in Wiscasset for at least another decade — maybe two.

“I’m beyond frustrated,” Don Hudson, chairman of the Maine Yankee Community Advisory Panel, said Wednesday. “The word is incredibly disappointed.”

Hudson, former director of the Chewonki Foundation, lives in Arrowsic, about 7 miles downstream from the former power plant on the Back River.

In the 33 years since he built his house, he’s watched high tide levels rise year by year, raising concerns about potential storm damage to the 64 casks of waste encased in concrete about 30 feet above sea level just upstream.

[Federal judge awards Maine Yankee another $24.6 million]

With no decision for storing the waste in sight, “it will be another 10, maybe another 20 years before the waste leaves Wiscasset,” Hudson said. “I want to see this wrapped up.”

Eric Howes, spokesman for Maine Yankee as well as two other decommissioned plants, Yankee Atomic Electric Co. in Rowe, Massachusetts, and Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co. in East Hampton, Connecticut, said this week that President Donald Trump’s administration appears to have renewed efforts to build a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain in Nevada, and has included in its 2018 budget request funding to develop a solution to storing the waste.
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Maine Yankee generated power at the Wiscasset plant from 1972 to 1996, and was decommissioned in 2005 after an eight-year process.

In 1982, the **Nuclear Waste Policy Act** required the U.S. Department of Energy to **develop a geological repository for the country’s waste**. In 1987, the act was amended to focus only on Yucca Mountain.

When the federal government failed to begin removing the spent fuel from Maine Yankee as required in 1998, Maine Yankee, along with Yankee Atomic and Connecticut Yankee, sued the federal government.

To date, Maine Yankee has been awarded $142.1 million by federal judges for the years 1998 to 2012, and in May filed a fourth lawsuit for $35 million for the years 2013 to 2016, Howes said, adding that the suits will likely continue until the spent fuel is moved.

In 2008, the U.S. Department of Energy submitted a license application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to store the country’s spent nuclear waste at a geological repository at Yucca Mountain. But in 2009, former President Barack Obama’s administration “decided that was not a working option,” Howes said Wednesday, “so essentially that program has been defunded from 2010 to the present day.”

Nevada officials have opposed the Yucca Mountain site and filed hundreds of “contentions” the NRC would have to wade through in order to license the project.

Instead, **two towns were identified**, one in Andrews County, Texas, and the other in Lea County, New Mexico, that offered to be voluntary interim storage sites, Howes said.

But the Trump administration, including **Secretary of Energy Rick Perry**, **have been outspoken** in support of both Yucca Mountain and consolidated interim storage. The Trump administration requested funds in the fiscal year
2018 budget to move the Yucca Mountain license application forward, as well as create a “robust” interim storage plan, according to Howes.

Various bills in the House and Senate have attempted to move the process along — most recently, Rep. John Shimkus, R-Illinois, sponsored HR 3053, a plan to restart the Yucca Mountain review and create consolidated interim storage sites — but Howes said the two bodies have not agreed on any direction.

Howes said he favors — and the Maine congressional delegation has supported — an integrated program with both forms of storage, “because a geological repository could be many years away.”


The bill is expected to pass, E&E Daily reported, but previous bills have required consent from the host states, and Nevada has expressed “stringent opposition,” Howes said.

“The long and the short of it is, Congress needs to make a decision about policy for the government to meet its obligations and to dispose of the spent fuel,” he said.

Meanwhile, since 1998, consumers of electricity generated by nuclear plants have paid into a nuclear waste disposal fund — which contains about $40 billion — though a court ruling in 2013 ended the requirement that the nuclear power plants themselves pay into the fund.

But none of that waste has yet been moved.

“We’re all paying about $10 million annually to watch that fuel age,” Hudson said.

“I don’t waste my energy being frustrated,” he continued. “But I don’t ‘ho hum’ and walk away. I’m paying attention.”

Follow the Bangor Daily News on Facebook for the latest Maine news.
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